

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	B	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>92</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>94.58</div> %	<div>96.14</div> %	<div>56</div>	<div>96.45</div> %	<div>29</div>	<div>28</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>93.72</div> %	<div>88.33</div> %	<div>17</div>	<div>87.93</div> %	<div>78</div>	<div>74</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>11.1</div>	<div>5.75</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>4.73</div>	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>87.62</div> %	<div>77.35</div> %	<div>42</div>	<div>83.38</div> %	<div>31</div>	<div>29</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>72.49</div> %	<div>70.06</div> %	<div>41</div>	<div>70.15</div> %	<div>47</div>	<div>45</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>97.00</div> %	<div>78.42</div> %	<div>13</div>	<div>80.67</div> %	<div>91</div>	<div>88</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>33.91</div> %	<div>39.86</div> %	<div>58</div>	<div>45.30</div> %	<div>30</div>	<div>29</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>99.57</div> %	<div>92.91</div> %	<div>27</div>	<div>95.32</div> %	<div>57</div>	<div>57</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State:	<div>25</div> / <div>92</div>	Nationally:	<div>63</div> <div>61</div>	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$34,696</div>	<div>\$34,639</div>		<div>\$26,635</div>	<div>233</div> Units	<div>12.10</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$22,448</div>	<div>\$20,710</div>		<div>\$14,938</div>	<div>173</div> Units	<div>9.00</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$14,333</div>	<div>\$27,349</div>		<div>\$20,675</div>	<div>3</div> Units	<div>0.20</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$1,517</div>	<div>\$2,736</div>		<div>\$3,216</div>	<div>1,516</div> Units	<div>78.80</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Luis Obispo County CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$195,209	\$144,196	\$14,333
State:*	\$134,233	\$113,853	\$28,349
National:**	\$95,185	\$74,993	\$23,434

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.08

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	43.1	41.6	0.0	73.5	Single/Non-Elderly:	13.4	8.7	0.0	39.0
Black/African American:	1.3	0.6	0.0	6.5	Elderly:	27.6	1.7	0.0	10.0
Asian:	0.0	0.6	0.0	2.0	Related/Single Parent:	22.8	13.9	0.0	37.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	34.9	72.3	100.0	7.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	1.3	3.5	0.0	6.5
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	55.6	56.6	100.0	18.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	36.6	5.2	0.0	48.0	Section 8:	25.0	0.0	#	
2 Persons:	13.8	13.3	0.0	23.5	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	13.8	16.8	0.0	16.5	Other:	1.3			
4 Persons:	19.8	34.7	100.0	7.5	No Assistance:	73.7			
5 Persons:	7.8	16.8	0.0	4.0					
6 Persons:	6.0	9.8	0.0	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.5					
8 or more Persons:	2.2	0.6	0.0	0.0					
					# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001				87

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Luis Obispo County

State: CA

Group Rank: 63
 (Percentile)

State Rank: 25 / 92 PJs

Overall Rank: 61
 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 76.20%	87.62	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.90%	72.49	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	97	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.88%	99.57	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.550	0.84	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

